

503.205.2305 (direct)

August 12, 2025

VIA EMAIL PETRA.SCHUETZ@BENTONCOUNTYOR.GOV

Petra Schuetz
Benton County Planning Official
Benton County Community Development
4500 SW Research Way
Corvallis, OR 97330-1139

Subject: Appeal of Benton County Planning Commission's Decision on LU-24-027

(Conditional Use Permit to Expand Coffin Butte Landfill)

Dear Ms. Schuetz:

As you know, we represent the Applicant, Valley Landfills, Inc. (Republic Services), with regard to the above-noted permit. Attached is the Applicant's appeal of the July 29, 2025, decision of the Planning Commission to deny the above-noted permit. My office will contemporaneously pay the appeal deposit of \$5,000.

The Applicant requests that Benton County continue the appeal hearings until mid-October, 2025, to enable it to prepare and submit additional evidence on several of the key issues. The Applicant hereby approves extension of the 150-day local action deadline in ORS 215.427 from the current deadline of September 26, 2025, until November 26, 2025, to enable this change.

Thank you for your courtesies.

Very truly yours,

Jeffrey G. Condit

Attachments: Completed Appeal Application Form

Applicant's Appeal Narrative



Office: Kalapuya Building 4500 SW Research Way Corvallis, OR 97333 (541) 766-6819

www.co.benton.or.us/cd

APPLICATION

APPEAL OF A PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION

File#	LU-24-027	Fee*: Cost of Original A
File #	LU-24-027	Fee*: Cost of Original A

File#	LU-24-027	Fee*: Cost of Original Application \$			
			TACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY. ICATION IS DETERMINED TO BE COMPLETE		
Appellar	<u>1t</u>				
Name: F	Republic Service	s (Valley Landfill	ls, Inc.) Phone #1: 541.230.5543		
Address:	28972 Coffin Bu	utte Rd	Phone #2:		
	_{p:} Corvallis, OR		Email: bdavis220203@republicservices.com		
Other in	dividuals to be notified				
<u>N</u>	lame Ac	ddress	City & Zip		
Jeffrey	G. Condit, Miller Nash	LLP, 1140 SW Washingt	on St, Ste 700, Portland, OR 97205		
Ryan L	awler, Area President-N	Jorthwest, Republic Serv	ices, 28972 Coffin Butte Rd, Corvallis, OR 97330		
The appe	ellant hereby requests t	he Board of County Com	nmissioners to consider the following decision:		
	• •	•	ion: Conditional Use Permit to expand Coffin Butte Landfill		
			ion Decision Date: 07.29.25		
			4W, Tax Lot(s) See attached		
REQUIRE	ED: (Failure to cite spec	ific Plan or Code provisio	ns and to demonstrate standing will nullify your appeal.		
1. S		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	essary.) i <u>c</u> Comprehensive Plan or Development Code provisions		
_	See attached appeal na	rrative			
2. A	statement of the stand	ding to appeal: Tl	ne appellant is the applicant for the subject Conditional		
	Use Permit and appear	ed orally and in writing b	pefore the Benton County Planning Commission.		

*NOTE: The required fee is a <u>deposit</u> in the amount of the fee of the original application (or if the original application fees were waived per BCC 51.520, then the fee is \$200). Costs of processing the appeal will be tracked and any portion of the deposit not expended in the appeal will be returned to the appellant together with an accounting of the costs.							
	08.12.25						
Signature	Date						
(For Office Use Only) Date Application Received:	Receipt Number:						
Date Application Neceived.	Receipt Number.						
File Number Assigned:	Planner Assigned:						

APPLICANT'S APPEAL NARRATIVE

FILE #LU-24-027—Conditional Use Permit to Expand Coffin Butte Landfill

Property Location: 29000, 29160, 28972, and 29175 Coffin Butte Road, Township 10 S, Range 4 W, Section 18, Tax Lots 1107, 1200, 1101, 1104, 1108, and 801.

DISCUSSION

The Benton County Planning Commission misapplied the following standards from the Benton County Code ("BCC" or the "Code"):

53.215 Criteria. The decision to approve a conditional use permit shall be based on findings that: (1) The proposed use does not seriously interfere with uses on adjacent property, with the character of the area, or with the purpose of the zone; (2) The proposed use does not impose an undue burden on any public improvements, facilities, utilities, or services available to the area.¹

The Planning Commission decision improperly redefined the terms in the standard in a manner inconsistent with the County's historic interpretation and inconsistent with the plain meaning of the terms. Because these criteria apply to every conditional use application filed in the County, the future implications of this attempt to legislate by interpretation are significant. Because the Planning Commission misapplied the applicable criteria, the Planning Commission's analysis was flawed throughout its decision.

The Planning Commission decision was also not supported by substantial evidence in the record. The Planning Commission failed to explain why it found certain evidence more credible than the exhaustive professional analyses prepared by the Applicant's experts. The Applicant's evidence was found probative by the County's own independent experts, who recommended approval of the application with conditions. Much of the testimony relied on by the Planning Commission was anecdotal, unsupported by citation to authority, did not plausibly link the claimed impact to the landfill, or was purely speculative. Cited evidence that was relevant was heavily outweighed by the evidence supporting approval and/or that was addressed in the Conditions of Approval.

¹ As noted below, several statements prepared by individual planning commissioners were attached to and incorporated into the Planning Commission's final decision. It is unclear whether these statements were intended as analysis supporting the conclusions in the body of the findings or adopted as findings by the full Commission. If the latter, the interpretation of and findings regarding BCC 77.310(1)(c) and BCC 77.410 in Commissioner Evelyn Lee's statement also misinterprets the criterion and is not supported by the record. These are the only other Code provisions cited in the Planning Commission's decision or the statements as bases for denial.

Several of the planning commissioners prepared personal statements, which were delivered prior to deliberation and incorporated into the findings. Some of these statements included considerations well outside of the Planning Commission's scope of review under the applicable criteria and appeared to be objections to the fact that the area is designated for landfill use and that landfills are allowed under any criteria. Again, the Planning Commission has no authority to repeal provisions in the Comprehensive Plan and Development Code by interpretation. The landfill is a permitted use and is expressly permitted to expand upon approval of a conditional use permit.

The Planning Commission's decision also ignored the robust conditions of approval proposed by County staff and the Applicant. The County's independent experts concluded that these conditions would result in compliance with the applicable criteria. The basis for the Planning Commission's decision appeared to be that the Applicant will not comply with the conditions and the County will not enforce the conditions. In point of fact, the Applicant proposed a condition that would require it to provide significant funding to the County for the sole purpose of monitoring compliance and enforcing conditions of approval at the landfill. This demonstrates the Applicant's commitment to compliance and provides the County with the means to ensure compliance. Almost every land use decision includes at least some conditions of approval; if a county decision-maker starts with the assumption that conditions will not be complied with or enforced, then the county should get out of the land use business. Such an assumption is speculative and is not an appropriate basis for denial.

The Planning Commission's decision also appeared to be based in part on an assumption that the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, United States Environmental Protection Agency, and other state and federal agencies with regulatory authority over the landfill will not do their jobs. This is not a basis for denial for the same reasons that speculation that the County will not do its job is not an appropriate basis for denial. These agencies have jurisdiction over the environmental impacts of the landfill, and the County does not have the jurisdiction or the expertise to second-guess these agency regulations or processes.

The Applicant reserves its rights under BCC 51.840(1) to present new evidence, testimony, and argument to the Benton County Board of Commissioners in support of its application.



Transaction Receipt

Record ID: 138-24-000095-PLNG

Receipt Number: 50899

Receipt Date: 8/12/25

Benton County Planning Division

4500 SW Research Way Kalapuya Building, 2nd Floor Corvallis, OR 97333 541-766-6819

\$5,000.00

permitcheck@bentoncountyor.gov Website: bentoncountyor.gov/cd

Parcel: 104180000801

Paid through ePermitting website

Fees Paid							
Transaction date	Units	Description	Account code	Fee amount	Paid amount		
8/12/25	5,000.00 Amoun Fee	Appeal - PC Decision - In LS Zone - \$5,000 deposit + bill for staff time Notes: \$5,000 deposit + staff time	001-02-10-155-431075	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00		
Payment Method	d: Credit card	Payer: Lori Hughes 062197		Payment Amount:	\$5,000.00		

Receipt Total: